



NINTH EDITION

Abnormal Psychology

Thomas F. Oltmanns

Robert E. Emery



Abnormal Psychology

Ninth Edition

Thomas F. Oltmanns

Washington University in St. Louis

Robert E. Emery

University of Virginia



330 Hudson Street, NY, NY 10013

Portfolio Manager: *Bimbabati Sen*
Portfolio Manager Assistant: *Anna Austin*
Product Marketer: *Jessica Quazza*
Content Developer: *Daniel Richcreek*
Content Development Manager: *Gabrielle White*
Art/Designer: *iEnergizer Aptara® , Ltd.*
Digital Studio Course Producer: *Lindsay Verge*
Full-Service Project Manager: *iEnergizer Aptara® , Ltd.*
Composer: *iEnergizer Aptara® , Ltd.*
Printer/Binder: *Menasha-LSC Communications*
Cover Printer: *Phoenix*
Cover Design: *Lumina Datamatics, Inc.*
Cover Art: *Pentagram/Noma Bar*

Acknowledgements of third party content appear on page xviii, which constitutes an extension of this copyright page.

Copyright © 2019, 2015, 2012 by Pearson Education, Inc. or its affiliates. All Rights Reserved. Printed in the United States of America. This publication is protected by copyright, and permission should be obtained from the publisher prior to any prohibited reproduction, storage in a retrieval system, or transmission in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise. For information regarding permissions, request forms and the appropriate contacts within the Pearson Education Global Rights & Permissions department, please visit www.pearsoned.com/permissions/.

PEARSON, ALWAYS LEARNING, and REVEL are exclusive trademarks owned by Pearson Education, Inc. or its affiliates, in the U.S., and/or other countries.

Unless otherwise indicated herein, any third-party trademarks that may appear in this work are the property of their respective owners and any references to third-party trademarks, logos or other trade dress are for demonstrative or descriptive purposes only. Such references are not intended to imply any sponsorship, endorsement, authorization, or promotion of Pearson's products by the owners of such marks, or any relationship between the owner and Pearson Education, Inc. or its affiliates, authors, licensees or distributors.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Oltmanns, Thomas F., author.

Title: Abnormal psychology / Thomas F. Oltmanns, Washington University in St. Louis, Robert E. Emery, University of Virginia.

Description: Ninth edition. | Hoboken : Pearson, [2018] | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2017060357 | ISBN 9780134571737 (paperback : student edition) | ISBN 0205970745 (paperback : student edition) | ISBN 9780205971060 | ISBN 0205971067

Subjects: LCSH: Psychology, Pathological—Case studies. | Psychiatry—Case studies.

Classification: LCC RC465 .O47 2018 | DDC 616.89—dc23 LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2017060357>



Access Code Card		Books à la Carte	
ISBN-10:	0-134-53183-3	ISBN-10:	0-134-57173-8
ISBN-13:	978-0-13-453183-0	ISBN-13:	978-0-13-457173-7
Student Rental Edition		Instructor's Review Copy	
ISBN-10:	0-134-89905-9	ISBN-10:	0-134-57172-X
ISBN-13:	978-0-13-489905-3	ISBN-13:	978-0-13-457172-0

Brief Contents

- 1** Examples and Definitions of Abnormal Behavior 1
- 2** Causes of Abnormal Behavior 25
- 3** Treatment of Psychological Disorders 54
- 4** Classification and Assessment of Abnormal Behavior 78
- 5** Mood Disorders and Suicide 105
- 6** Anxiety Disorders and Obsessive–Compulsive Disorder 143
- 7** Acute and Posttraumatic Stress Disorders, Dissociative Disorders, and Somatic Symptom Disorders 174
- 8** Stress and Physical Health 207
- 9** Personality Disorders 230
- 10** Feeding and Eating Disorders 262
- 11** Substance-Related and Addictive Disorders 286
- 12** Sexual Dysfunctions, Paraphilic Disorders, and Gender Dysphoria 322
- 13** Schizophrenia Spectrum and Other Psychotic Disorders 355
- 14** Neurocognitive Disorders 387
- 15** Intellectual Disabilities and Autism Spectrum Disorders 412
- 16** Psychological Disorders of Childhood 443
- 17** Adjustment Disorders and Life-Cycle Transitions 472
- 18** Mental Health and the Law 496

Contents

Preface	xiii	■ Thinking Critically About <i>DSM-5</i> : Diagnosis of Mental Disorders	28
Acknowledgments	xviii	2.1.2 The Psychodynamic Paradigm	29
About the Authors	xx	2.1.3 The Cognitive-Behavioral Paradigm	30
		2.1.4 The Humanistic Paradigm	31
1 Examples and Definitions of Abnormal Behavior	1	2.2 Systems Theory	32
■ Case Study: A Husband's Schizophrenia with Paranoid Delusions	2	2.2.1 Holism	32
1.1 Recognizing the Presence of a Disorder	3	2.2.2 Causality	32
1.1.1 Features of Abnormal Behavior	3	■ Research Methods: Correlations: Does a Psychology Major Make You Smarter?	33
■ Bipolar Disorder: How Does It Impact a Life?	4	2.2.3 Developmental Psychopathology	34
1.1.2 Diagnosis and Definitions	5	2.3 Biological Factors	35
1.2 Defining Abnormal Behavior	5	2.3.1 The Neuron and Neurotransmitters	35
1.2.1 Harmful Dysfunction	6	2.3.2 Neurotransmitters and Psychopathology	36
1.2.2 Mental Health Versus Absence of Disorder	7	2.3.3 Major Brain Structures	37
1.2.3 Culture and Diagnostic Practice	7	2.3.4 Cerebral Hemispheres, Major Brain Structures, and Psychopathology	37
■ Thinking Critically About <i>DSM-5</i> : Revising an Imperfect Manual	8	2.3.5 Psychophysiology	38
■ Critical Thinking Matters: Is Sexual Addiction a Meaningful Concept?	9	2.3.6 Behavior Genetics	40
1.3 Who Experiences Abnormal Behavior?	10	■ Autism: How Does It Impact a Life?	43
■ Case Study: A College Student's Eating Disorder	10	■ Critical Thinking Matters: Vaccinations and Mental Disorders	44
1.3.1 Frequency in and Impact on Community Populations	11	2.4 Psychological Factors	45
1.3.2 Cross-Cultural Comparisons	13	2.4.1 Human Nature	45
1.4 The Mental Health Professions	14	2.4.2 Temperament and Emotions	47
1.4.1 Common Mental Health Professions	15	2.4.3 Learning and Cognition	47
1.4.2 The Future of Mental Health Professions	16	2.4.4 The Sense of Self	48
1.5 Psychopathology in Historical Context	16	2.4.5 Stages of Development	48
1.5.1 The Greek Tradition in Medicine	16	2.5 Social Factors	49
1.5.2 The Creation of the Asylum	17	2.5.1 Close Relationships	49
1.5.3 Worcester Lunatic Hospital	18	2.5.2 Gender and Gender Roles	50
1.5.4 Lessons from the History of Psychopathology	18	2.5.3 Prejudice, Poverty, and Society	50
■ Research Methods: Who Must Provide Scientific Evidence?	19	Summary: Causes of Abnormal Behavior	51
1.6 Methods for the Scientific Study of Mental Disorders	20	■ Getting Help	52
1.6.1 The Uses and Limitations of Case Studies	20	Key Terms	52
1.6.2 Clinical Research Methods	21		
Summary: Examples and Definitions of Abnormal Behavior	22		
■ Getting Help	23		
Key Terms	24		
2 Causes of Abnormal Behavior	25		
■ Case Study: Meghan's Many Hardships	26		
2.1 Brief Historical Perspective	27		
2.1.1 The Biological Paradigm	27	3 Treatment of Psychological Disorders	54
		■ Case Study: Why Is Frances Depressed?	55
		■ Thinking Critically About <i>DSM-5</i> : Diagnosis and Treatment	57
		3.1 Biological Treatments	57
		3.1.1 Psychopharmacology	58
		3.1.2 Electroconvulsive Therapy	59
		3.1.3 Psychosurgery	59
		3.2 Psychodynamic Psychotherapies	60
		3.2.1 Freudian Psychoanalysis	60

3.2.2	Ego Analysis	61	4.4.2	Assumptions About Consistency of Behavior	91
3.2.3	Psychodynamic Psychotherapy	61	4.4.3	Evaluating the Usefulness of Assessment Procedures	91
3.3	Cognitive-Behavior Therapy	62	■	Critical Thinking Matters: The Barnum Effect and Assessment Feedback	92
3.3.1	Systematic Desensitization	62	4.5:	Psychological Assessment: Interviews and Observational Procedures	92
■	Research Methods: The Experiment: Does Treatment Cause Improvement?	63	4.5.1	Interviews	92
3.3.2	Contingency Management	64	■	Depression/Deliberate Self-Harm: How Does It Impact a Life?	93
3.3.3	Social Skills Training	64	4.5.2	Observational Procedures	95
3.3.4	Cognitive Techniques	65	4.6	Psychological Assessment Personality Tests and Self-Report Inventories	97
3.3.5	Third-Wave CBT	65	4.6.1	Personality Inventories	97
■	Hypochondriasis: How Does It Impact a Life?	66	4.6.2	Projective Personality Tests	99
3.4	Humanistic Therapies	66	4.7	Biological Assessment Procedures	101
3.4.1	Client-Centered Therapy	66	4.7.1	MRI and CT Scans	101
3.4.2	A Means, Not an End?	67	4.7.2	PET and fMRI Scans	101
3.5	Research on Psychotherapy	67	Summary: Classification and Assessment of Abnormal Behavior	103	
■	Critical Thinking Matters: Alternative Treatments	68	■	Getting Help	103
3.5.1	Does Psychotherapy Work?	68	Key Terms	104	
■	Ethnic Minorities in Psychotherapy	72	5	Mood Disorders and Suicide	105
3.5.2	Psychotherapy Process Research	72	5.0.1	Case Studies Symptoms of Depressive Disorder and Mania	106
3.6	Couple, Family, and Group Therapy	74	■	Case Study: An Attorney's Major Depressive Episode	106
3.6.1	Couple Therapy	74	■	Case Study: Debbie's Manic Episode	107
3.6.2	Family Therapy	75	5.1	Symptoms Associated with Depression	108
3.6.3	Group Therapy	75	5.1.1	Emotional Symptoms	108
3.6.4	Prevention	75	5.1.2	Cognitive Symptoms	109
3.6.5	Specific Treatments for Specific Disorders	76	5.1.3	Somatic Symptoms	110
Summary: Treatment of Psychological Disorders	76		5.1.4	Behavioral Symptoms	110
■	Getting Help	77	5.1.5	Other Problems Commonly Associated with Depression	110
Key Terms	77		5.2	Diagnosis for Depression and Bipolar Disorders	110
4	Classification and Assessment of Abnormal Behavior	78	5.2.1	Diagnosis for Depressive Disorders	111
■	Case Study: Obsessions, Compulsions, and Other Unusual Behaviors	79	■	DSM-5: Criteria for Major Depressive Disorder	111
Assessing Michael's Behavior	80		5.2.2	Diagnosis for Bipolar Disorders	112
4.1	Basic Issues in Classification	80	■	DSM-5: Criteria for Diagnosis of Manic Episode	113
4.1.1	Categories Versus Dimensions	81	5.2.3	Further Descriptions and Subtypes	113
4.1.2	From Description to Theory	81	■	Thinking Critically About DSM-5: Depression or Grief following a major loss?	114
4.2	Classifying Abnormal Behavior	81	5.3	Course, Outcome, and Frequency	115
4.2.1	The DSM-5 System	82	5.3.1	Depressive Disorders	115
■	Labels and Stigma	82	5.3.2	Bipolar Disorders	115
■	DSM-5: Criteria for Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder	83	■	Bipolar Disorder With Psychotic Features: How Does It Impact a Life?	116
4.2.2	Culture and Classification	84	5.3.3	Incidence and Prevalence	116
4.3	Evaluating Classification Systems	85	5.3.4	Risk for Mood Disorders Across the Life Span	116
4.3.1	Reliability	85	■	Major Depression: How Does It Impact a Life?	117
■	Research Methods: Reliability: Agreement Regarding Diagnostic Decisions	85			
4.3.2	Validity	87			
■	Thinking Critically About DSM-5: Scientific Progress or Diagnostic Fads?	88			
4.3.3	Problems and Limitations of the DSM-5 System	88			
4.4	Basic Issues in Assessment	90			
4.4.1	Purposes of Clinical Assessment	90			

5.3.5	Gender Differences	118	6.2.2	Social Anxiety Disorder (Social Phobia)	147
5.3.6	Cross-Cultural Differences	118	■	Social Anxiety Disorder: How Does It Impact a Life?	148
5.4	Causes Social and Psychological Factors	118	6.2.3	Agoraphobia	148
5.4.1	Social Factors	119	6.2.4	Generalized Anxiety Disorder	148
5.4.2	Psychological Factors	121	■	Generalized Anxiety Disorder: How Does It Impact a Life?	149
■	Major Depression and Stressful Life Events: How Does It Impact a Life?	123	6.2.5	Course and Outcome	149
5.5	Causes Biological Factors	123	6.3	Frequency of Anxiety Disorders	149
5.5.1	Genetics	123	6.3.1	Prevalence	150
■	Major Depression and Stressful Life Events: How Does It Impact a Life?	123	6.3.2	Comorbidity	150
5.5.2	The Neuroendocrine System	125	6.3.3	Gender Differences	150
5.5.3	Integration of Social, Psychological, and Biological Factors	127	6.3.4	Age Differences	150
■	Research Methods: Analogue Studies: Do Rats Get Depressed, and Why?	128	6.3.5	Cross-Cultural Comparisons	151
5.6	Treatment for Depressive Disorders	128	6.4	Causes of Anxiety Disorders Social and Biological Factors	151
5.6.1	Depressive Disorders and Therapy	128	6.4.1	Adaptive and Maladaptive Fears	151
5.6.2	Antidepressant Medications	129	6.4.2	Social Factors	152
■	Critical Thinking Matters: Do Antidepressant Drugs Cause Violent Behavior	130	6.4.3	Genetic Factors	153
5.7	Treatment for Bipolar and Mood Disorders	131	6.4.4	Neurobiology	153
5.7.1	Lithium and Anticonvulsant Medications for Bipolar Disorder	132	6.5	Causes of Anxiety Disorders Psychological Factors	155
5.7.2	Psychotherapy for Bipolar Disorder	132	6.5.1	Learning Processes	155
5.7.3	Electroconvulsive Therapy for Mood Disorders	132	6.5.2	Cognitive Factors	156
5.7.4	Light Therapy for Seasonal Mood Disorders	133	6.6	Treatment of Anxiety Disorders	158
5.8	Suicide	133	6.6.1	Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy	158
5.8.1	Classification of Suicide	133	6.6.2	Systematic Desensitization and Exposure	158
■	Case Study: An Admiral's Suicide	134	6.6.3	Relaxation and Breathing Retraining	158
5.8.2	Frequency of Suicide	136	6.6.4	Cognitive Therapy	159
5.8.3	Causes of Suicide	137	6.6.5	Antianxiety Medications	159
■	Common Elements of Suicide	138	■	Research Methods: Statistical Significance: When Differences Matter	160
5.8.4	Treatment of Suicidal People	139	6.6.6	Antidepressant Medications	161
Summary: Mood Disorders and Suicide	140		6.7	Obsessive–Compulsive and Related Disorders Symptoms and Diagnosis	161
■	Getting Help	141	6.7.1	Symptoms of OCD	161
Key Terms	142		■	Case Study: Ed's Obsessive–Compulsive Disorder	162
			6.7.2	Diagnosis of OCD and Related Disorders	164
			■	Thinking Critically About <i>DSM-5</i> : Splitting Up the Anxiety Disorders	165
6	Anxiety Disorders and Obsessive–Compulsive Disorder	143	■	Case Study: Amber's Skin Picking	167
6.0.1	An Example of an Anxiety Disorder	144	6.8	Obsessive–Compulsive and Related Disorders Frequency and Treatment	167
■	Case Study: A Writer's Panic Disorder and Agoraphobia	144	6.8.1	Course, Outcome, and Frequency of OCD	168
6.1	Symptoms of Anxiety Disorders	145	6.8.2	Causes of OCD	168
6.1.1	Anxiety	145	■	Critical Thinking Matters: Can a Strep Infection Trigger OCD in Children?	169
6.1.2	Excessive Worry	146	■	Case Study: Ed's Treatment	170
6.1.3	Panic Attacks	146	6.8.3	Treatment of OCD	170
■	<i>DSM-5</i> : Criteria for Panic Disorder	146	Summary: Anxiety Disorders and Obsessive–Compulsive Disorder	171	
6.1.4	Phobias	147	■	Getting Help	172
6.2	Diagnosis of Anxiety Disorders	147	Key Terms	173	
6.2.1	Specific Phobias	147			

7	Acute and Posttraumatic Stress Disorders, Dissociative Disorders, and Somatic Symptom Disorders	174	8	Stress and Physical Health	207
7.1	Acute and Posttraumatic Stress Disorders	174	■	Case Study: Bob Carter's Heart Attack	208
■	Case Study: The Enduring Trauma of Sexual Assault	175	8.1	Defining Stress	209
7.1.1	Symptoms of ASD and PTSD	176	8.1.1	Stress as a Life Event	209
7.1.2	Diagnosis of ASD and PTSD	177	8.1.2	Symptoms of Stress	211
■	DSM-5: Criteria for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder	177	■	Tend and Befriend: The Female Stress Response?	212
■	DSM-5: Criteria for Acute Stress Disorder	179	8.1.3	Immune System Responses	212
7.2	Frequency, Causes, and Treatment of PTSD and ASD	180	8.2	Coping and Resilience	214
7.2.1	Frequency of Trauma, PTSD, and ASD	181	8.2.1	Coping	215
7.2.2	Causes of PTSD and ASD	182	8.2.2	Resilience	215
■	An EMT's Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: How Does It Impact a Life?	182	8.2.3	Health Behavior	216
7.2.3	Prevention and Treatment of ASD and PTSD	184	8.3	Diagnosis of Stress and Physical Illness	218
■	Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (Domestic Violence): How Does It Impact a Life?	186	■	Thinking Critically About DSM-5: The Descriptive Approach to Classification	218
7.3	Dissociative Disorders	186	■	DSM-5: Criteria for Psychological Factors Affecting Other Medical Conditions	219
■	Case Study: Dissociative Fugue—Dallae's Journey	187	8.3.1	Psychological Factors and Some Familiar Illnesses	219
7.3.1	Hysteria and the Unconscious	188	8.3.2	Cancer	219
7.3.2	Symptoms of Dissociative Disorders	189	8.3.3	Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS)	220
■	Critical Thinking Matters: Recovered Memories	190	8.3.4	Pain Disorder	221
7.4	Diagnosis, Causes, and Treatment of Dissociative Disorders	191	8.3.5	Sleep-Wake Disorders	222
7.4.1	Diagnosis of Dissociative Disorders	191	8.4	Cardiovascular Disease and Stress	222
■	Case Study: Amnesia for September 11	191	8.4.1	Biological and Psychological Factors of CHD	223
■	Case Study: The Three Faces of Eve	192	8.4.2	Social Factors of CVD	224
7.4.2	Frequency of Dissociative Disorders	193	8.4.3	Integration and Alternative Pathways	224
■	Thinking Critically About DSM-5: More on Diagnostic Fads	195	■	Research Methods: Longitudinal Studies: Lives Over Time	225
7.4.3	Causes of Dissociative Disorders	195	8.4.4	Symptoms and Diagnosis of CVD	225
■	Research Methods: Retrospective Reports: Remembering the Past	196	8.4.5	Prevention and Treatment of CVD	226
7.4.4	Treatment of Dissociative Disorders	197	Summary: Stress and Physical Health	228	
7.5	Somatic Symptom Disorders	197	■	Getting Help	228
7.5.1	Symptoms of Somatic Symptom Disorders	198	Key Terms	229	
7.5.2	Diagnosis of Somatic Symptom Disorders	198	9	Personality Disorders	230
■	Case Study: Janet's Hysterical Patient	199	9.0.1	Important Features of Personality Disorders	231
■	DSM-5: Criteria for Illness Anxiety Disorder	200	■	Case Study: A Car Thief's Antisocial Personality Disorder	231
7.6	Frequency, Causes, and Treatment of Somatic Symptom Disorders	201	9.1	Symptoms	233
7.6.1	Frequency of Somatic Symptom Disorders	201	9.1.1	Social Motivation	233
7.6.2	Causes of Somatic Symptom Disorders	202	9.1.2	Cognitive Perspectives Regarding Self and Others	233
7.6.3	Treatment of Somatic Symptom Disorders	203	9.1.3	Temperament and Personality Traits	234
			9.1.4	Context and Personality	234
Summary: Acute and Posttraumatic Stress Disorders, Dissociative Disorders, and Somatic Symptom Disorders	205		9.2	Diagnosis	235
■	Getting Help	205	9.2.1	Cluster A: Paranoid, Schizoid, and Schizotypal Personality Disorders	236
Key Terms	206		■	Critical Thinking Matters: Can Personality Disorders be Adaptive?	237
			9.2.2	Cluster B: Antisocial, Borderline, Histrionic, and Narcissistic Personality Disorders	238

■ Case Study: Beatrice's Borderline Personality Disorder	238	10.1 Symptoms of Anorexia	265
■ Borderline Personality Disorder: How Does It Impact a Life?	239	10.1.1 Significantly Low Weight	265
9.2.3 Cluster C: Avoidant, Dependent, and Obsessive–Compulsive Personality Disorders	240	10.1.2 Fear of Gaining Weight	265
9.3 A Dimensional Perspective on Personality Disorders	241	10.1.3 Disturbance in Experiencing Weight or Shape	266
9.3.1 The Dimensional PD Model	241	10.1.4 Amenorrhea	266
9.3.2 Describing Personality Disorder in Terms of Traits	243	10.1.5 Medical Complications	266
■ Case Study: Narcissism From the Perspective of DSM-5	243	10.1.6 Struggle for Control	266
9.4 Frequency	244	10.1.7 Comorbid Psychological Disorders	266
9.4.1 Prevalence in Community and Clinical Samples	244	■ Cases of Eating Disorders	267
■ Thinking Critically About DSM-5: Is a Dimensional Model too Complicated?	245	10.2 Symptoms of Bulimia	267
9.4.2 Gender Differences	246	■ Case Study: Michelle's Secret	267
9.4.3 Stability of Personality Disorders Over Time	246	10.2.1 Binge Eating	268
9.4.4 Culture and Personality	247	10.2.2 Inappropriate Compensatory Behavior	269
■ Research Methods: Cross-Cultural Comparisons: The Importance of Context	248	■ Binge Eating: How Does It Impact a Life?	269
9.5 Schizotypal Personality Disorder (SPD)	248	10.2.3 Excessive Emphasis on Weight and Shape	269
■ Case Study: Schizotypal Personality Disorder	249	10.2.4 Comorbid Psychological Disorders	270
9.5.1 Symptoms of Schizotypal Personality Disorder	250	10.2.5 Medical Complications	270
9.5.2 Causes of Schizotypal Personality Disorder	250	10.3 Diagnosis of Feeding and Eating Disorders	270
■ DSM-5: Criteria for Schizotypal Personality Disorder	250	■ Thinking Critically About DSM-5: Binge-Eating Disorder	271
9.5.3 Treatment for Schizotypal Personality Disorder	250	10.3.1 Diagnosis of Anorexia Nervosa	272
9.6 Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD)	251	■ Anorexia Nervosa: How Does It Impact a Life?	272
■ Case Study: Barbara's Borderline Personality Disorder	251	■ DSM-5: Criteria for Anorexia Nervosa	272
9.6.1 Symptoms of Borderline Personality Disorder	252	10.3.2 Diagnosis of Bulimia Nervosa	272
■ DSM-5: Criteria for Borderline Personality Disorder	252	■ DSM-5: Criteria for Bulimia Nervosa	273
9.6.2 Causes of Borderline Personality Disorder	253	■ Bulimia Nervosa: How Does It Impact a Life?	273
■ Impulse Control Disorders	253	10.4 Frequency of Anorexia and Bulimia	273
9.6.3 Treatment for Borderline Personality Disorder	254	10.4.1 Standards of Beauty and the Culture of Thinness	274
9.7 Antisocial Personality Disorder (ASPD)	255	■ Critical Thinking Matters: The Pressure to Be Thin	275
■ Case Study: Antisocial Personality Disorder	255	10.4.2 Age of Onset	276
9.7.1 Symptoms of Antisocial Personality Disorder	256	10.5 Causes of Anorexia and Bulimia	276
■ DSM-5: Criteria for Antisocial Personality Disorder	257	10.5.1 Social Factors	276
9.7.2 Causes of Antisocial Personality Disorder	257	10.5.2 Psychological Factors	277
9.7.3 Treatment for Antisocial Personality Disorder	259	10.5.3 Biological Factors	278
Summary: Personality Disorders	260	10.5.4 Integration and Alternative Pathways	279
■ Getting Help	260	10.6 Treatments for Anorexia and Bulimia	279
Key Terms	261	10.6.1 Approaches to Treating Anorexia	279
10 Feeding and Eating Disorders	262	10.6.2 Approaches to Treating Bulimia	281
■ Case Study: Serrita's Anorexia	264	■ Research Methods: Psychotherapy Placebos	282
		10.6.3 Prevention of Eating Disorders	282
		Summary: Feeding and Eating Disorders	284
		■ Getting Help	284
		Key Terms	285
		11 Substance-Related and Addictive Disorders	286
		Problems Associated With Substance Use Disorders	287
		■ Case Study: Ernest Hemingway's Alcohol Use Disorder	287

12.5.6	Pedophilic Disorder	342
12.5.7	Rape and Sexual Assault	343
■	Thinking Critically About <i>DSM-5</i> : Two Sexual Problems That Did Not Become New Mental Disorders	344
12.6	The Origins of Paraphilia	345
12.6.1	Frequency of Paraphilia	345
12.6.2	Biological Factors Causing Paraphilia	345
12.6.3	Social Factors Causing Paraphilia	346
12.6.4	Psychological Factors Causing Paraphilia	347
12.7	Treating Paraphilia	347
12.7.1	Aversion Therapy	347
12.7.2	Cognitive Behavioral Treatment	347
12.7.3	Hormones and Medication	348
12.8	Gender Dysphoria	349
12.8.1	Symptoms of Gender Dysphoria	350
12.8.2	Frequency of Gender Dysphoria	351
12.8.3	Causes of Gender Dysphoria	351
■	Gender Identity Disorder: How Does It Impact a Life?	351
12.8.4	Treatment for Gender Dysphoria	351
Summary: Sexual Dysfunctions, Paraphilic Disorders, and Gender Dysphoria		352
■	Getting Help	353
	Key Terms	354

13 Schizophrenia Spectrum and Other Psychotic Disorders 355

■	Case Study: A New Mother's Paranoid Delusions	356
13.1	Symptoms of Schizophrenia	357
■	Case Study: Edward's Hallucinations and Disorganized Speech	357
13.1.1	Positive Symptoms	358
13.1.2	Negative Symptoms	360
13.1.3	Disorganization	360
■	Schizophrenia: How Does It Impact a Life?	361
■	Case Study: Marsha's Disorganized Speech and Catatonic Behavior	361
13.2	Diagnosis of Schizophrenia	363
13.2.1	DSM-5	363
■	Criteria for Schizophrenia	363
13.2.2	Subtypes	364
■	Critical Thinking Matters: Why Were the Symptom-Based Subtypes of Schizophrenia Dropped from DSM-5?	365
13.2.3	Related Psychotic Disorders	365
13.2.4	Course and Outcome	365
■	Schizoaffective Disorder: How Does It Impact a Life?	366
13.3	Frequency of Schizophrenia	367
13.3.1	Gender Differences	367
13.3.2	Cross-Cultural Comparisons	367
13.4	Biological Causes of Schizophrenia	368
13.4.1	Genetics	368
13.4.2	Pregnancy and Birth Complications	370
13.4.3	Neuropathology	371

13.4.4	Neurochemistry	373
13.5	Social and Psychological Causes of Schizophrenia	374
13.5.1	Social Class	374
13.5.2	Expressed Emotion	375
13.5.3	Interaction of Biological and Environmental Factors	376
■	Research Methods: Comparison Groups: What Is Normal?	377
13.6	The Search for Markers of Vulnerability	377
13.6.1	Designing a Measure for Vulnerability	378
■	Thinking Critically About <i>DSM-5</i> : Attenuated Psychosis Syndrome (APS) - Reflects Wishful Rather Than Critical Thinking	378
13.6.2	Working-Memory Impairment	379
13.6.3	Eye-Tracking Dysfunction	379
13.7	Treatment of Schizophrenia	380
13.7.1	Antipsychotic Medication	380
13.7.2	Psychosocial Treatment	383
Summary: Schizophrenia Spectrum and Other Psychotic Disorders		384
■	Getting Help	385
	Key Terms	386

14 Neurocognitive Disorders 387

■	Case Study: A Physician's Developing Dementia	388
■	Case Study: Dementia and Delirium—A Niece's Terrible Discoveries	389
14.1	Symptoms of Neurocognitive Disorders	390
14.1.1	Delirium	390
■	Dementia: How Does It Impact a Life?	390
■	Criteria for Delirium	391
14.1.2	Major Neurocognitive Disorder	391
14.2	Diagnosis of Neurocognitive Disorders	395
14.2.1	Brief Historical Perspective	396
■	Criteria for Major Neurocognitive Disorder	396
14.2.2	Specific Types of Neurocognitive Disorder	397
■	Critical Thinking Matters: How Can Clinicians Establish an Early Diagnosis of Alzheimer's Disease?	397
■	Research Methods: Finding Genes That Cause Behavioral Problems	402
14.3	Frequency of Delirium and Major Neurocognitive Disorders	402
14.3.1	Prevalence of Dementia	403
14.3.2	Prevalence by Subtypes of Neurocognitive Disorder	403
14.3.3	Cross-Cultural Comparisons	403
14.4	Causes of Neurocognitive Disorders	404
14.4.1	Causes of Delirium	404
14.4.2	Neurocognitive Disorder Genetic Factors	404
14.4.3	Neurotransmitters in NCD	405
14.4.4	Immunology and NCD	405
14.4.5	Environmental Factors	406

14.5	Treatment and Management	407	15.6	Causes of ASD	435
	■ Thinking Critically About <i>DSM-5</i> : Will Patients and Their Families Understand “Mild” Neurocognitive Disorder?	407	15.6.1	Psychological and Social Factors Leading to ASD	435
14.5.1	Medication	408	15.6.2	Biological Factors Leading to ASD	436
14.5.2	Environmental and Behavioral Management	408	15.7	Treatment of ASD	437
14.5.3	Support for Caregivers	408		■ Critical Thinking Matters: Bogus Treatments	437
	■ Alzheimer’s Disease: How Does It Impact a Life?	409	15.7.1	Course and Outcome	438
Summary: Neurocognitive Disorders	409		15.7.2	Medication	438
■ Getting Help	410		15.7.3	Applied Behavior Analysis	439
Key Terms	411		Summary: Intellectual Disabilities and Autism Spectrum Disorders	441	
			■ Getting Help	441	
			Key Terms	442	
15	Intellectual Disabilities and Autism Spectrum Disorders	412	16	Psychological Disorders of Childhood	443
	■ Case Study: Should This Mother Raise Her Children?	413		■ Case Study: Bad Boy, Troubled Boy, or All Boy?	444
15.1	Symptoms of Intellectual Disabilities	414	16.1	Externalizing Disorders	445
15.1.1	Measuring Intelligence	414	16.1.1	Rule Violations	445
	■ <i>DSM-5</i> : Criteria for Intellectual Disability (Intellectual Developmental Disorder)	415	16.1.2	Other Symptoms	446
	■ Research Methods: Central Tendency and Variability: What Do IQ Scores Mean?	416	16.1.3	Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder	446
15.1.2	Measuring Adaptive Skills	417		■ <i>DSM-5</i> : Criteria for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder	447
15.1.3	Age of Onset	417	■ Learning Disabilities	448	
15.2	Diagnosis of Intellectual Disabilities	417	16.1.4	Oppositional Defiant Disorder	449
15.2.1	History of Diagnosis	418	16.1.5	Conduct Disorder	449
15.2.2	Contemporary Diagnosis	418		■ <i>DSM-5</i> : Criteria for Oppositional Defiant Disorder	449
15.2.3	Frequency of Intellectual Disabilities	419		■ <i>DSM-5</i> : Criteria for Conduct Disorder	450
15.3	Causes of Intellectual Disabilities	419	16.2	Causes of Externalizing Disorders	450
15.3.1	Biological Factors Leading to Intellectual Disabilities	419	16.2.1	Frequency of Externalizing	450
15.3.2	Psychological and Social Factors Leading to Intellectual Disabilities	424		■ Research Methods: Selecting People to Study	451
	■ Eugenics: Our History of Shame	424	16.2.2	Biological Factors Contributing to Externalizing Disorders	452
15.4	Prevention and Normalization of Intellectual Disabilities	425	16.2.3	Social Factors Contributing to Externalizing Disorders	453
15.4.1	Primary Prevention	425		■ Case Study: I Want Candy!	454
15.4.2	Secondary Prevention	426	16.2.4	Psychological Factors in Externalizing Disorders	455
15.4.3	Tertiary Prevention	426	16.3	Treatment of Externalizing Disorders	456
15.4.4	Normalization	427	16.3.1	Psychostimulants and ADHD	456
15.5	Autism Spectrum Disorder	427		■ Critical Thinking Matters: ADHD’s False Causes and Cures	459
	■ Case Study: Temple Grandin—An Anthropologist on Mars	428	16.3.2	Behavioral Family Therapy for ODD	459
15.5.1	Early Onset	429	16.3.3	Treatment of Conduct Disorders	460
15.5.2	Deficits in Social Communication and Interaction	429		■ ADHD: How Does It Impact a Life?	461
15.5.3	Restricted, Repetitive Interests and Activities	430	16.4	Internalizing and Other Disorders	461
15.5.4	Other Symptoms of ASD	431		■ Case Study: Turning the Tables on Tormentors	462
15.5.5	Diagnosis of ASD	432	16.4.1	Symptoms of Internalizing Disorders	462
	■ <i>DSM-5</i> : Criteria for Autism Spectrum Disorder	432	16.4.2	Diagnosis of Internalizing and Other Childhood Disorders	464
	■ Thinking Critically About <i>DSM-5</i> : Autism Spectrum	433		■ Thinking Critically About <i>DSM-5</i> : Disruptive Mood Dysregulation Disorder	465
15.5.6	Frequency of ASD	434	16.5	Causes and Treatment of Internalizing Disorders	466
	■ Asperger’s Disorder: How Does It Impact a Life?	435	16.5.1	Frequency of Internalizing Disorders	466

16.5.2	Suicide	466
16.5.3	Biological Factors Causing Internalizing Disorders	466
16.5.4	Social Factors Causing Internalizing Disorders	467
16.5.5	Psychological Factors Causing Internalizing Disorders	468
16.5.6	Treatment of Internalizing Disorders	468
Summary: Psychological Disorders of Childhood		470
■	Getting Help	470
	Key Terms	471
17	Adjustment Disorders and Life-Cycle Transitions	472
■	Case Study: Left for Another Man	472
17.1	Adjustment Disorders	473
17.1.1	Symptoms of Adjustment Disorders	473
17.1.2	Diagnosis of Adjustment Disorders	474
■	DSM-5: Criteria for Adjustment Disorder	474
17.2	The Transition to Adulthood	475
17.2.1	Identity Crisis	476
17.2.2	Changing Roles and Relationships	476
17.2.3	Diagnosis of Identity Conflicts	477
17.2.4	Frequency and Causes of Identity Conflicts	477
17.2.5	Treatment During the Transition to Adult Life	478
■	Case Study: Samantha's Birth Mother	478
17.3	Family Transitions	479
17.3.1	Symptoms of Family Transitions	479
■	Thinking Critically About DSM-5: Diagnosis of Individuals	481
17.3.2	Frequency of Family Transitions	482
17.3.3	Causes of Difficulty in Family Transitions	482
■	Research Methods: Genes and the Environment	484
17.3.4	Prevention of Relationship Distress	484
■	Critical Thinking Matters: Is Divorce Genetic?	485
17.3.5	Couple Therapy and Family Therapy	485
■	Case Study: Learning to Listen	486
17.4	The Transition to Later Life	487
17.4.1	Physical Functioning and Health	488
17.4.2	Happiness, Work, Relationships, and Sex	488
■	Case Study: Mrs. J.'s Grief	489
■	Reliving the Past	490
17.4.3	Grief and Bereavement	491
17.4.4	Mental Health and Suicide	491
17.4.5	Diagnosis and Frequency of Aging	492
17.4.6	Causes of Psychological Problems in Later Life	493
17.4.7	Treatment of Psychological Problems in Later Life	493

Summary: Adjustment Disorders and Life-Cycle Transitions		494
■	Getting Help	494
	Key Terms	495
18	Mental Health and the Law	496
■	Case Study: John Hinckley and the Insanity Defense	496
18.1	Conflicts	497
18.1.1	Expert Witnesses	498
18.1.2	Free Will Versus Determinism	498
18.1.3	Rights and Responsibilities	498
18.2	Mental Illness and Criminal Responsibility	499
18.2.1	The Insanity Defense	499
18.2.2	Competence to Stand Trial	501
18.2.3	Sentencing and Mental Health	503
■	Thinking Critically About DSM-5: Thresholds	503
18.3	Civil Commitment	504
18.3.1	A Brief History of U.S. Mental Hospitals	504
18.3.2	Involuntary Hospitalization	505
■	Research Methods: Base Rates and Prediction—Justice Blackmun's Error	506
■	Critical Thinking Matters: Violence and Mental Illness	507
18.4	Committed Patients' Rights	508
18.4.1	Right to Treatment	508
18.4.2	Least Restrictive Environment	509
18.4.3	Right to Refuse Treatment	510
18.4.4	Deinstitutionalization	511
18.5	Mental Health and Family Law	512
18.5.1	Child Custody Disputes	512
■	Case Study: Not Fighting for Your Children	513
18.5.2	Child Abuse	514
18.6	Professional Responsibilities and the Law	515
18.6.1	Professional Negligence and Malpractice	515
18.6.2	Confidentiality	516
■	Case Study: The Duty to Protect	516
Summary: Mental Health and the Law		517
■	Getting Help	517
	Key Terms	518
	Glossary	519
	References	533
	Credits	582
	Name Index	588
	Subject Index	608

Preface

Emotional suffering touches all of our lives at some point in time. Psychological problems affect many of us directly and all of us indirectly—through our loved ones, friends, and the strangers whose troubled behavior we cannot ignore. Abnormal psychology is not about “them.” Abnormal psychology is about all of us.

Scientific thinking and inquiry are essential to a better understanding of abnormal psychology, a field full of pressing and often unanswered questions. In this ninth edition of our text, once again, we bring both the science and the personal aspects of abnormal psychology to life. We answer pressing intellectual and human questions as accurately, sensitively, and completely as possible, given the pace of new discoveries. Throughout this course, we offer an engaging yet rigorous treatment of abnormal psychology, highlighting both the latest research and theory and the urgent needs of the people behind the disorders.

Content Highlights

This edition has many new and exciting material for students and teachers!

DSM 5 is integrated everywhere in this edition

The new version of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual includes many changes. A great many of the revisions incorporated into *DSM-5* are a step forward. Others, well, not so much. . . .

We eagerly awaited the final publication of the *DSM-5*, as did other mental health professionals and textbook authors. We were curious to see what much-discussed and debated changes made it into the final *DSM-5*, and what diagnoses and diagnostic criteria remained the same. Naturally, we wanted *Abnormal Psychology* to focus on *DSM-5*, so that students and instructors could have up-to-date information on this influential diagnostic system. Yet, we wanted to do more than just include tables with *DSM-5* diagnostic criteria. We integrate and evaluate *DSM-5* into the fabric of every chapter. Of course, you will find a great many tables of *DSM-5* diagnostic criteria in this text. But you will find much more. The most visible is our inclusion of *Thinking Critically About DSM-5*. Appearing in every chapter, *Thinking Critically About DSM-5* asks and answers questions like these: How does the *DSM-5*'s categorical diagnostic system deal with dimensional variations in abnormal (and normal) behavior? Is autism really best

viewed as a spectrum disorder? What arguments—scientific, political, and practical—lie behind *DSM-5*'s decision to include new diagnoses like binge eating disorder and temper dysregulation disorder? Has *DSM-5* taken the descriptive approach too far, too literally grouping diagnoses together based solely on appearance (such as pica and anorexia nervosa)? What does (and doesn't) *DSM-5* say about the causes and treatment of mental disorders—and why?

Our goal in writing the *Thinking Critically About DSM-5* features was, first, to teach students about the *DSM-5*, and, second, to help students *think* about *DSM-5*. We want students to understand the principles behind classification and diagnosis in general. We want them to grapple with the conceptual and empirical uncertainties concerning particular disorders. We also want students to recognize at least some of the practical and political agendas that influence what, in the context of our culture and times, we decide is or isn't a mental disorder.

In fact, we have highlighted the theoretical issues behind various diagnoses in every edition of our text. We are proud to note that many contemporary controversies surrounding the *DSM-5* have been highlighted in our text for a long time. To offer just one example: Should abnormal behavior be classified along dimensions or into categories? This issue has been a key theme of Oltmanns and Emery, *Abnormal Psychology*, since the first edition. Questions like this are not just about the *DSM-5*. Debates about topics like dimensions versus categories are about critical thinking in general. Consider this question: Where does an instructor set cutoffs, turning the dimension of test score averages into the category of letter grades? Now, *that's* a debate about dimensions and categories that a student can understand!

Critical Thinking

Abnormal Psychology teaches students vital and lasting lessons about critical thinking. We believe that critical thinking is essential for science, for helping people in need, and for the intellectual and personal development of our students. Today's students are overwhelmed with information from all kinds of media. Critical thinking is indispensable, so students can distinguish between information that is good, bad, or ugly (to borrow a phrase from our favorite Western movie). We want students to think critically about abnormal psychology—and everything else.

We encourage the readers of *Abnormal Psychology* to be *inquiring skeptics*. Students need to be skeptical in

evaluating all kinds of claims. We help them to do so by teaching students to *think like psychological scientists*. Yet, we also want students to be inquiring, to be skeptical not cynical. Pressing human needs and fascinating psychological questions make it essential for us to seek answers, not just explode myths.

In this ninth edition of our text, we emphasize critical thinking in several ways. As noted, we include the feature, *Thinking Critically About DSM-5*. We also have continued to revise and expand our “Critical Thinking Matters” discussions. These features address some timely, often controversial, and always critically important topics, for example, the purported link between vaccines and autism. Critical thinking matters because psychological problems matter deeply to those who suffer and to their loved ones. Good research tells us—and them—which treatments work, and which ones don’t, as well as what might cause mental illness, and what doesn’t. Critical thinking matters because students in abnormal psychology surely will not remember all the details they learn in this course. In fact, they shouldn’t focus exclusively on facts, because data will change with new scientific developments. But if students can learn to think critically about abnormal psychology, the lesson will last a lifetime and be used repeatedly, not only in understanding psychological problems, but also in every area of their lives.

Our “Critical Thinking Matters” features help students to *think* about science, about pseudo-science, and about themselves. For example, in Chapter 2 we address the mistaken belief, still promoted widely on the Internet and in the popular media, that mercury in widely used measles/mumps/rubella (MMR) vaccinations in the 1990s caused an epidemic of autism (and perhaps a host of other psychological problems for children). “Critical Thinking Matters” outlines the concerns of the frightened public, but goes on to point out (1) the failure to find support for this fear in numerous, large-scale scientific studies; (2) the scientific stance that the burden of proof lies with the proponents of any hypothesis, including speculations about MMR; (3) the widely ignored fact that 10 of the original 13 authors who raised the theoretical possibility *publicly withdrew their speculation about autism and MMR*; (4) the fact that the findings of legal actions, sadly, do not necessarily reach conclusions consistent with scientific knowledge; and (5) recent discrediting of the scientists, journal article, and legal findings that originally “supported” this false claim. As we discuss in Chapter 15, moreover, the apparent epidemic of autism very likely resulted from increased awareness of the disorder and loosened criteria for diagnosing autism, not from an actual increase in cases.

Real People

We want students to think critically about disorders *and* to be sensitive to the struggles of individuals with

psychological problems. As scientist-practitioners, we see these dual goals not only as compatible, but also as essential. One way that we underscore the personal nature of emotional problems is in our “Getting Help” features found in every chapter. In “Getting Help,” we directly address the personal side of psychological disorders and try to answer the sorts of questions that students often ask us privately after a lecture or during office hours. The “Getting Help” sections give responsible, empirically sound, and concrete guidance on such personal topics as

- What treatments should I seek out for a particular disorder? (See Chapters 2, 6, 10, and 12)
- What can I do to help someone I know who has a psychological problem? (See Chapters 5, 9, 10, and 16)
- How can I find a good therapist? (See Chapters 3, 5, and 12)
- Where can I get reliable information from books, the Internet, or professionals in my community? (See Chapters 1, 5, 7, and 11)
- What self-help strategies can I try or suggest to friends? (See Chapters 6, 11, and 12)

Students can also find research-based information on the effectiveness and efficacy of various treatments in Chapter 3, “Treatment of Psychological Disorders.” We cover treatment generally at the beginning of the text but in detail in the context of each disorder, because different treatments are more or less effective for different psychological problems.

Videos

One of the best ways to understand the needs of the people behind the disorders is to hear their stories in their own words. We worked in consultation with Pearson and NKP Productions to produce (and expand) a video series on people with various disorders. These interviews give students a window into the lives of people who in many ways may not be that different from anyone else, but who do struggle with various kinds of mental disorders. In many cases the video cases include a segment which features interviews with friends and family members who discuss their relationships, feelings, and perspectives. We introduce students to each of these people in the appropriate chapters of our title, using their photos and a brief description of relevant issues that should be considered when viewing the video cases.

We are especially proud of the *Speaking Out* videos and view them as a part of our text, not as a supplement, because we were intimately involved with their production. We screened video cases, helped to construct and guide the actual interviews, and gave detailed feedback on how to edit the films to make the disorders real for students and fit closely with the organization and themes in our ninth edition.

New Research

The unsolved mysteries of abnormal psychology challenge all of our intellectual and personal resources. In our ninth edition, we include the latest “clues” psychological scientists have unearthed in doing the detective work of research, including references to hundreds of new studies. But the measure of a leading-edge text is not merely the number of new references; it is the number of new studies the authors have reviewed and evaluated before deciding which ones to include and which ones to discard. For every new reference in this edition of our text, we have read many additional papers before selecting the one gem to include. Some of the updated research and perspectives in this edition include:

- Updated discussion regarding the general definition of mental disorders, as employed in *DSM-5*, and new estimates regarding the number of mental health professionals delivering services (*Chapter 1*)
- Enhanced coverage of genetic contributions to abnormal behavior, including discussions of epigenetics and replication strengths and concerns (*Chapter 2*)
- Evidence on what makes placebos “work,” on disseminating evidenced-based treatments, and “3rd wave” CBT (*Chapter 3*)
- Revised discussion of the reliability of diagnosis, based on new evidence from the *DSM-5* field trials (*Chapter 4*)
- Important updates on our coverage of suicide, including description of a new category called Suicidal Behavior Disorder, which appears in “Conditions for Further Study” (*Chapter 5*)
- Addition of material on hoarding disorder (another new diagnostic category added to *DSM-5*) and expanded coverage of the diagnostic features and prevalence of obsessive-compulsive symptoms and spectrum disorders, which are now listed separately from anxiety disorders in *DSM-5* (*Chapter 6*)
- Issues and controversies about PTSD among veterans, including debates about the appropriateness of exposure therapy (*Chapter 7*)
- New research on the treatment of chronic pain, including mindfulness approaches (*Chapter 8*)
- Careful explanation of the two approaches to classification of personality disorders that are now included in *DSM-5* as well as the similarities and distinctions between them (*Chapter 9*)
- New information about binge eating disorder and obesity; latest evidence on redefining, treating (the Maudsley method), and preventing eating disorders; up-to-date consideration of women’s portrayal in the media (*Chapter 10*)
- New evidence regarding the frequency of overdose deaths attributed to opioid pain-killers, which has increased dramatically in recent years as well as expanded coverage of gambling disorder, which is now listed with Substance-Related and Addictive Disorders in *DSM-5* (*Chapter 11*)
- Markedly revised section on Gender Dysphoria as well as a new discussion of the distinction between hypoactive sexual desire and asexuality, a concept that has received greatly increased attention in the literature since 2004 (*Chapter 12*)
- Updated discussion of new evidence regarding the impact of specific genes on the origins of schizophrenia as well as careful consideration of the proposed diagnostic construct “Attenuated Psychosis Syndrome,” including its potential benefits as well as likely negative consequences (*Chapter 13*)
- Explanation of the change to neurocognitive disorders as the overall diagnostic term for this chapter as well as the deletion of the term amnesic disorder (*Chapter 14*)
- More questions about the autism spectrum, the so-called epidemic of autism, and estimates of the prevalence of autism spectrum disorder (*Chapter 15*)
- Further questions about the *DSM-5*’s elimination of childhood disorders and evidence on new trends in the psychological treatment of children; (*Chapter 16*)
- Updated research on successful aging, including psychological, social, and economic considerations (*Chapter 17*)
- Updated discussion of how diagnostic thresholds are a matter of life and death in the case of intellectual disabilities, including new Supreme Court rulings about IQ thresholds (*Chapter 18*)

Still the Gold Standard

We see the most exciting and promising future for abnormal psychology in the integration of theoretical approaches, professional specialties, and science and practice, not in the old, fractured competition among “paradigms,” a split between psychology and psychiatry, or the division between scientists and practitioners. We view integration as the gold standard of any forward-looking abnormal psychology text, and the gold standard remains unchanged in the ninth edition of our text.

Integrating Causes and Treatment

For much of the last century, abnormal psychology was dominated by theoretical paradigms, a circumstance that reminds us of the parable of the seven blind men and the elephant. One blind man grasps a tusk and concludes that an elephant is very much like a spear. Another feels a leg

and decides an elephant is like a tree, and so on. Our goal from the first edition of *Abnormal Psychology* has been to show the reader the whole elephant. We do this through our unique *integrative systems approach*, in which we focus on what we know today rather than what we used to think. In every chapter, we consider the latest evidence on the *multiple* risk factors that contribute to psychological disorders, as well as the most effective psychological and biomedical treatments. Even if science cannot yet paint a picture of the whole elephant, we clearly tell the student what we know, what we don't know, and how psychologists think the pieces might fit together.

Pedagogy: Integrated Content and Methods

We also continue to bring cohesion to abnormal psychology—and to the student—with pedagogy. Each disorder chapter unfolds in the same way, providing a *coherent* framework. We open with an Overview followed by one or two extended Case Studies. We then discuss Symptoms, Diagnosis, Frequency, Causes, and, finally, Treatment.

Abnormal psychology is not only about the latest research, but also about the methods psychologists use (and invent) in order to do scientific detective work. Unlike any other text in this field, we cover the scientific method by offering brief “Research Methods” features in every single chapter. Teaching methods in the context of content helps students appreciate the importance of scientific procedures and assumptions, makes learning research methods more manageable, and gives the text flexibility. By the end of the text, our unique approach allows us to cover research methods in *more* detail than we could reasonably cover in a single, detached chapter. Many of our students have told us that the typical research methods chapter seems dry, difficult, and—to our great disappointment—irrelevant. These problems never arise with our integrated, contextualized approach to research methods.

Abnormal psychology also is, of course, about real people with real problems. We bring the human, clinical side of abnormal psychology alive with detailed “Case Studies.” The case studies take the reader along the human journey of pain, triumph, frustration, and fresh starts that is abnormal psychology. The cases help students to think more deeply about psychological disorders, much as our own clinical experience enriches our understanding. (We both have been active clinicians as well as active researchers throughout our careers.) In extended cases near the beginning of each chapter, in briefer cases later, and in first-person accounts throughout, the student sees how ordinary lives are disrupted by psychological problems—and how effective treatment can rebuild shattered lives. The case studies also make the details and complexity of the science concrete, relevant, and essential to the “real world.”

Sometimes a study or problem suggests a departure from current thinking or raises side issues that deserve to be examined in detail. We cover these emerging ideas in features identified by the topic at hand. One example of an emerging issue we discuss in this way is whether the female response to stress might be to “tend and befriend” rather than fight or flight (Chapter 8). Other topics include the common elements of suicide (Chapter 5) and a system for classifying different types of rapists (Chapter 12).

New to This Edition

- In Chapter 1: We added a brief introduction to our discussion of gender differences in the prevalence of mental disorders, highlighting recent focus on terms and concepts associated with this issue. Sex refers to male and female biological factors and physical anatomy while gender is a social construct that refers to the person's subjective sense of being a man or a woman. This topic and related issues now receive more attention in our opening chapter, and it is again featured in our chapter on Sexual Disorders and Gender Dysphoria (Chapter 12).
- In Chapter 2: We put special emphasis on genetic contributions to abnormal behavior, including new discussions of epigenetics and replication concerns; enhanced discussion of systems perspective; streamlined yet thorough coverage of many factors involved in causing abnormal behaviour.
- In Chapter 3: We included new evidence on the complexity of placebos, stigma and treatment seeking, meta-analyses of efficacy of medication versus psychotherapy.
- In Chapter 5: Our coverage of suicide has been expanded and updated. We added material regarding a new category called Suicidal Behavior Disorder which appears in DSM-5 under “Conditions for Further Study.” Risk for suicide is a cross-cutting theme for all mental disorders in the diagnostic manual. It is mentioned in several of our chapters on specific disorders (e.g., substance use and schizophrenia) as well as in our chapter on childhood disorders. Special issues associated with assisted suicide are discussed in our chapter on life-cycle transitions.
- In Chapter 6: Our coverage of OCD-related disorders has been refined and expanded, including Hoarding and Excoriation Disorder (skin-picking). OCD-related disorders were separated from Anxiety Disorders with the introduction of DSM-5. That important change has stimulated additional interest in the study and treatment of these challenging problems.

- In Chapter 7: We discuss controversies about PTSD among veterans, including the appropriateness of exposure therapy; the (limited) benefits of hypnotherapy; new research on depersonalization and illness anxiety disorder.
- In Chapter 8: We added new research on the treatment of chronic pain, including mindfulness approaches; new discussion of palliative care; optimism and life expectancy following heart attack.
- In Chapter 9: We now attend closely to the relative stability and longevity of social impairment and physical health problems associated with maladaptive personality characteristics, especially those associated with the diagnosis of Borderline Personality Disorder. Although the traditional symptoms of these disorders do, in fact, change quite a bit over time, their consequences on the person's quality of life can be quite persistent. Of course, it is important to view this discussion from the perspective of the new "alternative dimensional model" in DSM-5 as well as that of the traditional PD categories.
- In Chapter 10: We included new research on eating disorders among dancers; latest evidence on the Maudsley method; culture and the prevalence of eating disorders.
- In Chapter 12: Asexuality is a concept that has received considerable attention in the recent professional literature, which includes discussion of the notion that asexuality might be considered a form of sexual orientation. The options might be that some people are sexually attracted to members of their own sex, some to members of the opposite sex, some to members of both sexes, or some to no others. We have added a discussion of the distinction between hypoactive sexual desire and asexuality and its implications for understanding the origins and treatment of sexual dysfunctions.
- Also in Chapter 12: Our material covering Gender Dysphoria has been dramatically revised. New material includes coverage of intersex individuals (people who were born with sex characteristics that do not fit typical or expected male or female patterns as well as evolving concepts regarding gender identity (such as recognition of nonbinary genders). This is one of the most fascinating and rapidly changing topics in psychopathology, and our chapter covers the most current developments and controversies in the field.
- In Chapter 13: We added consideration of new evidence regarding the impact of specific genes on the origins of schizophrenia. Knowledge in this area of the field is changing at a rapid pace, reflecting new methodological advances and the creation of extremely large datasets that were previously considered impossible to achieve. It is now clear that no specific gene accounts for a major proportion of the heritability of schizophrenia. Rather, investigators have found convincing evidence that more than 100 specific genes have a very small but measurable impact on risk for the disorder.
- In Chapter 16: We introduce new trends in the psychological treatment of children; ADHD diagnosis influenced by age relative to classmates; longer term outcomes from the CAMS study.
- In Chapter 17: We updated our discussion on successful aging, including psychological, social, and economic considerations; the transition to parenthood is more good than bad; confronting ageism.
- In Chapter 18: We discuss how diagnostic thresholds can be a matter of life and death, including new Supreme Court rulings about IQ thresholds for intellectual disability diagnoses; Tarasoff revisited; national need for better treatments for the seriously mentally ill.

Supplements

The following resources are available for instructors. These can be downloaded at <http://www.pearsonhighered.com/irc>. Login required.

PowerPoint—provides a core template of the content covered throughout the text. These can easily be customized for your classroom.

Instructor's Manual—includes approaches to teach the course and chapter-by-chapter suggestions for lessons, class discussions, and exercises.

Test Bank—includes additional questions beyond the REVEL in multiple choice and open-ended—short and essay response—formats.

MyTest—an electronic format of the Test Bank to customize in-class tests or quizzes.

Visit <http://www.pearsonhighered.com/mytest>

Acknowledgments

Writing and revising this text is a never-ending task that fortunately is also a labor of love. This ninth edition is the culmination of years of effort and is the product of many people's hard work. The first people we wish to thank for their important contributions to making this the text of the future, not of the past, are the following expert reviewers who have unselfishly offered us a great many helpful suggestions, both in this and in previous editions: John Dale Alden, III, Lipscomb University; John Allen, University of Arizona; Hal Arkowitz, University of Arizona; Jo Ann Armstrong, Patrick Henry Community College; Gordon Atlas, Alfred University; Deanna Barch, Washington University; Catherine Barnard, Kalamazoo Community College; Thomas G. Bowers, Pennsylvania State University, Harrisburg; Stephanie Boyd, University of South Carolina; Gail Bruce-Sanford, University of Montana; Ann Calhoun-Seals, Belmont Abbey College; Caryn L. Carlson, University of Texas at Austin; Richard Cavasina, California University of Pennsylvania; Laurie Chassin, Arizona State University; Lee H. Coleman, Miami University of Ohio; Bradley T. Conner, Temple University; Andrew Corso, University of Pennsylvania; Dean Cruess, University of Pennsylvania; Danielle Dick, Virginia Commonwealth University; Juris G. Draguns, Pennsylvania State University; Sarah Lopez-Duran, Nicholas Eaton, Stony Brook University; William Edmonston, Jr., Colgate University; Ronald Evans, Washburn University; John Foust, Parkland College; Dan Fox, Sam Houston State University; Alan Glaros, University of Missouri, Kansas City; Ian H. Gotlib, Stanford University; Mort Harmatz, University of Massachusetts; Marjorie L. Hatch, Southern Methodist University; Jennifer A. Haythornwaite, Johns Hopkins University; Holly Hazlett-Stevens, University of Nevada, Reno; Brant P. Hasler, University of Arizona; Debra L. Hollister, Valencia Community College; Jessica Jablonski, University of Delaware; Jennifer Jenkins, University of Toronto; Jutta Joormann, Yale University; Pamela Keel, Florida State University; Stuart Keeley, Bowling Green State University; Lynn Kemen, Hunter College; Carolin Keutzer, University of Oregon; Robert Lawyer, Delgado Community College; Marvin Lee, Tennessee State University; Barbara Lewis, University of West Florida; Mark H. Licht, Florida State University; Freda Liu, Arizona State University; Roger Loeb, University of Michigan, Dearborn; Carol Manning, University of Virginia; Sara Martino, Richard Stockton College of New Jersey; Richard D. McAnulty, University of North Carolina–Charlotte; Richard McFall, Indiana University; John Monahan, University of Virginia School of

Law; Tracy L. Morris, West Virginia University; Dan Muhwezi, Butler Community College; Christopher Murray, University of Maryland; William O'Donohue, University of Nevada–Reno; Joseph J. Palladino, University of Southern Indiana; Demetrios Papageorgis, University of British Columbia; Ronald D. Pearse, Fairmont State College; Brady Phelps, South Dakota State University; Nnamdi Pole, Smith College; Seth Pollak, University of Wisconsin; Lauren Polvere, Concordia University; Melvyn G. Preisz, Oklahoma City University; Rena Repetti, University of California, Los Angeles; Amy Resch, Citrus College; Robert J. Resnick, Randolph-Macon College; Karen Clay Rhines, Northampton Community College; Jennifer Langhinrichsen-Rohling, University of South Alabama; Patricia H. Rosenberger, Colorado State University; Catherine Guthrie-Scanes, Mississippi State University; Forrest Scogin, University of Alabama; Josh Searle-White, Allegheny College; Fran Sessa, Penn State Abington; Danny Shaw, University of Pittsburgh; Heather Shaw, American Institutes of Research; Brenda Shook, National University; Robin Shusko, Universities at Shady Grove and University of Maryland; Janet Simons, Central Iowa Psychological Services; Patricia J. Slocum, College of DuPage; Darrell Smith, Tennessee State University; Randi Smith, Metropolitan State College of Denver; George Spilich, Washington College; Cheryl Spinweber, University of California, San Diego; Bonnie Spring, The Chicago Medical School; Laura Stephenson, Washburn University; Xuan Stevens, Florida International University; Eric Stice, University of Texas; Alexandra Stillman, Utah State University; Joanne Stohs, California State, Fullerton; Martha Storandt, Washington University; Milton E. Strauss, Case Western Reserve University; Amie Grills-Taquechel, University of Houston; Melissa Terlecki, Cabrini College; J. Kevin Thompson, University of South Florida; Julie Thompson, Duke University; Frances Thorndike, University of Virginia; Robert H. Tipton, Virginia Commonwealth University; David Topor, Harvard Medical School; Gaston Weisz, Adelphi University and University of Phoenix Online; Douglas Whitman, Wayne State University; Michael Wierzbicki, Marquette University; Joanna Lee Williams, University of Virginia; Ken Winters, University of Minnesota; Eleanor Webber, Johnson State College; Craig Woodsmall, McKendree University; Robert D. Zettle, Wichita State University; Anthony Zoccolillo, Rutgers University.

We have been fortunate to work in stimulating academic environments that have fostered our interests in studying abnormal psychology and in teaching undergraduate

students. We are particularly grateful to our current and former colleagues at the University of Virginia: Eric Turkheimer, Irving Gottesman, Mavis Hetherington, John Monahan, Joseph Allen, Dan Wegner, David Hill, Jim Coan, Bethany Teachman, Amori Mikami (now at the University of British Columbia), Cedric Williams, and Peter Brunjes for extended and ongoing discussions of the issues that are considered in this title. Many other colleagues at Washington University in St. Louis have added an important perspective to our views regarding important topics in this field. They include Arpana Agrawal, Deanna Barch, Ryan Bogdan, Renee Thompson, Danielle Dick (now at Virginia Commonwealth University), Bob Krueger (now at the University of Minnesota), Randy Larsen, Tom Rodebaugh, and Martha Storandt. Close friends and colleagues at Indiana University have also served in this role, especially Dick McFall, Rick Viken, Mary Waldron, and Alexander Buchwald. Many undergraduate and graduate students who have taken our courses also have helped to shape the viewpoints that are expressed here. They are too numerous to identify individually, but we are grateful for the intellectual challenges and excitement that they have provided over the past several years.

Many other people have contributed to the text in important ways. Jutta Joormann provided extremely helpful suggestions with regard to Chapter 5; Bethany Teachman and members of her lab group offered many thoughtful comments for Chapter 6; Nnamdi Pole gave us extensive feedback and suggestions for Chapter 7. Pamela Keel offered a thorough, detailed, and insightful review of Chapter 10, along with dozens of excellent suggestions for

change. Christina Johnston provided extensive, thoughtful guidance with regard to issues related to gender identity and related disorders discussed in Chapter 12. Deanna Barch has been an ongoing source of information regarding issues discussed in Chapter 13. Kimberly Carpenter Emery did extensive legal research for Chapter 18. Danielle Dick contributed substantial expertise regarding developments in behavior genetics and gene identification methods. Martha Storandt and Carol Manning provided extensive consultation on issues related to dementia and other cognitive disorders. Jennifer Green provided important help with library research. Finally, Bailey Ocker gave us both indispensable help with research, manuscript preparation, and photo research across several editions of the text—thank you, Bailey, we never would have finished on time or as well without you!

We also would like to express our deep appreciation to the Pearson team who share our pride and excitement about this text and who have worked long and hard to make it the very best text.

Finally, we want to express our gratitude to our families for their patience and support throughout our obsession with this text: Gail and Josh Oltmanns, and Sara, Billy, Presley, Riley, and Kinley Baber; and Kimberly, Julia, Bobby, Lucy, and John Emery and Maggie, Mike, Emery, Beau, and Allie Strong. You remain our loving sources of motivation and inspiration.

—Tom Oltmanns

—Bob Emery

About the Authors

THOMAS F. OLTMANNNS is the Edgar James Swift Professor of Psychological and Brain Sciences in Arts and Sciences as well as professor of psychiatry at Washington University in St. Louis. He received his B.A. from the University of Wisconsin and his Ph.D. from Stony Brook University. Oltmanns was previously professor of psychology at the University of Virginia (1986 to 2003) and at Indiana University (1976 to 1986). His early research studies were concerned with the role of cognitive and emotional factors in schizophrenia. With grant support from NIA, his lab is currently conducting a prospective study of personality and health in later life. He has served on the Board of Directors of the Association for Psychological Science and

ROBERT E. EMERY is professor of psychology and director of the Center for Children, Families, and the Law at the University of Virginia, where he served as director of Clinical Training for nine years. In 2017, Emery was honored with the Cavaliers Distinguished Teaching Fellowship, the highest teaching honor awarded at the University of Virginia. Students have repeatedly voted to elect Emery to give the psychology commencement address. He also has been voted “best professor” by psychology students. Emery received a B.A. from Brown University in 1974 and a Ph.D. from SUNY at Stony Brook in 1982. His research focuses on family conflict, children’s mental health, and associated legal issues, particularly divorce mediation and child custody disputes. More recently, he has been involved in genetically informed research of selection into and the consequences of major changes in the family environment. Emery has authored over 150 scientific articles and book chapters. In addition to his teaching awards, he has been honored for Distinguished Contributions to Family Psychology from Division 43 of the American

was elected president of the Society for Research in Psychopathology, the Society for a Science of Clinical Psychology and the Academy of Psychological Clinical Science. Undergraduate students in psychology have selected him to receive outstanding teaching awards at Washington University and at UVA. In 2011, Oltmanns received the Toy Caldwell-Colbert Award for distinguished educator in clinical psychology from the Society for Clinical Psychology (Division 12 of APA). His other books include *Schizophrenia* (1980), written with John Neale; *Delusional Beliefs* (1988), edited with Brendan Maher; and *Case Studies in Abnormal Psychology* (10th edition, 2012), written with Michele Martin.

Psychological Association, a Citation Classic from the Institute for Scientific Information, an Outstanding Research Publication Award from the American Association for Marriage and Family Therapy, the Distinguished Researcher Award as well as the President’s Award for Distinguished Service from the Association of Family and Conciliation Courts, a Lifetime Achievement Award from the New York State Council on Divorce Mediation, and several awards and award nominations for his books on divorce: *Marriage, Divorce and Children’s Adjustment* (2nd edition, 1998, Sage Publications); *Renegotiating Family Relationships: Divorce, Child Custody, and Mediation* (2nd edition, 2011, Guilford Press); *The Truth About Children and Divorce: Dealing with the Emotions So You and Your Children Can Thrive* (2004, Viking), and *Two Homes, One Childhood: A Parenting Plan to Last a Lifetime* (2016, Avery). Emery currently is social science editor of *Family Court Review*. In addition to teaching, research, and administration, he maintains a limited practice as a clinical psychologist and mediator.

Chapter 1

Examples and Definitions of Abnormal Behavior

Learning Objectives

- 1.1 Explain the process of identifying a mental disorder
- 1.2 Analyze the evolving definitions of mental health
- 1.3 Assess the demographics of mental illness
- 1.4 Describe the functions of mental health professions
- 1.5 Summarize the history of mental illness treatments
- 1.6 Compare methods for studying mental disorders

Mental disorders touch every realm of human experience; they are part of the human experience. They can disrupt the way we think, the way we feel, and the way we behave. They also affect relationships with other people. These problems often have a devastating impact on people's lives. In countries such as the United States, mental disorders are the second leading cause of disease-related disability and mortality, ranking slightly behind cardiovascular conditions and slightly ahead of cancer (Lopez, Mathers, Ezzati, Jamison, & Murray, 2006). The purpose of this information is to help you become familiar with the nature of these disorders and the various ways in which psychologists and other mental health professionals are advancing knowledge of their causes and treatment.

Many of us grew up thinking that mental disorders happen to a few unfortunate people. We don't expect them to happen to us or to those we love. In fact, mental disorders are very common. At least two out of every four people will experience a serious form of abnormal behavior, such as depression, alcoholism, or schizophrenia, at some point during their lifetime. When you add up the numbers of people who experience these problems firsthand as well as through relatives and close friends, you realize that, like other health problems, mental disorders affect all of us. That is why, with this discussion we will try to help you understand not only the kind of disturbed behaviors and thinking that characterize particular disorders but also the people to whom they occur and the circumstances that can foster them.

Most importantly, this book is about all of us, not "them"—anonymous people with whom we empathize but do not identify. Just as each of us will be affected by medical problems at some point during our life, it is also likely that we, or someone we love, will have to cope with that aspect of the human experience known as a disorder of the mind.

The symptoms and signs of mental disorders, including such phenomena as depressed mood, panic attacks, and bizarre beliefs, are known as **psychopathology**. Literally translated, this term means *pathology of the mind*. **Abnormal psychology** is the application of psychological science to the study of mental disorders.

We will look at the field of abnormal psychology in general. We will look at the ways in which abnormal behaviors are broken down into categories of mental disorders that can be more clearly defined for diagnostic purposes, and how those behaviors are assessed. We will also discuss current ideas about the causes of these disorders and ways in which they can be treated.

The information will help you begin to understand the qualities that define behaviors and experiences as being abnormal. At what point does the diet that a girl follows in order to perform at her peak as a ballerina or gymnast become an eating disorder? When does grief following the end of a relationship become major depression? The line dividing normal from abnormal is not always clear. You will find that the issue is often one of degree rather than exact form or content of behavior.

The case studies we present will describe the experiences of two people whose behavior would be considered abnormal by mental health professionals. Our first case will introduce you to a person who suffered from one of the most obvious and disabling forms of mental disorder, schizophrenia. Kevin's life had been relatively

unremarkable for many years. He had done well in school, was married, and held a good job. Unfortunately, over a period of several months, the fabric of his normal life began to fall apart. The transition wasn't obvious to either Kevin or his family, but it eventually became clear that he was having serious problems.

Case Study

A Husband's Schizophrenia with Paranoid Delusions

Kevin and Joyce Warner (not their real names*) had been married for eight years when they sought help from a psychologist for their marital problems. Joyce was 34 years old, worked full time as a pediatric nurse, and was six months pregnant with her first child. Kevin, who was 35 years old, was finishing his third year working as a librarian at a local university. Joyce was extremely worried about what would happen if Kevin lost his job, especially in light of the baby's imminent arrival.

Although the Warners had come for couples' therapy, the psychologist soon became concerned about certain eccentric aspects of Kevin's behavior. In the first session, Joyce described one recent event that had precipitated a major argument. One day, after eating lunch at work, Kevin had experienced sharp pains in his chest and had difficulty breathing. Fearful, he rushed to the emergency room at the hospital where Joyce worked. The physician who saw Kevin found nothing wrong with him, even after extensive testing. She gave Kevin a few tranquilizers and sent him home to rest. When Joyce arrived home that evening, Kevin told her that he suspected that he had been poisoned at work by his supervisor. He still held this belief.

Kevin's belief about the alleged poisoning raised serious concern in the psychologist's mind about Kevin's mental health. He decided to interview Joyce alone so that he could ask more extensive questions about Kevin's behavior. Joyce realized that the poisoning idea was "crazy." She was not willing, however, to see it as evidence that Kevin had a mental disorder. Joyce had known Kevin for 15 years. As far as she knew, he had never held any strange beliefs before this time. Joyce said that Kevin had always been "a thoughtful and unusually sensitive guy." She did not attach a great deal of significance to Kevin's unusual belief. She was more preoccupied with

the couple's present financial concerns and insisted that it was time for Kevin to "face reality."

Kevin's condition deteriorated noticeably over the next few weeks. He became extremely withdrawn, frequently sitting alone in a darkened room after dinner. On several occasions, he told Joyce that he felt as if he had "lost pieces of his thinking." It wasn't that his memory was failing, but rather he felt as though parts of his brain were shut off.

Kevin's problems at work also grew worse. His supervisor informed Kevin that his contract would definitely not be renewed. Joyce exploded when Kevin indifferently told her the bad news. His apparent lack of concern was especially annoying. She called Kevin's supervisor, who confirmed the news. He told her that Kevin was physically present at the library, but he was only completing a few hours of work each day. Kevin sometimes spent long periods of time just sitting at his desk and staring off into space, and was sometimes heard mumbling softly to himself.

Kevin's speech was quite odd during the next therapy session. He would sometimes start to speak, drift off into silence, then re-establish eye contact with a bewildered smile and a shrug of his shoulders; he had apparently lost his train of thought completely. His answers to questions were often off the point, and when he did string together several sentences, their meaning was sometimes obscure. For example, at one point during the session, the psychologist asked Kevin if he planned to appeal his supervisor's decision. Kevin said, "I'm feeling pressured, like I'm lost and can't quite get here. But I need more time to explore the deeper side. Like in art. What you see on the surface is much richer when you look closely. I'm like that. An intuitive person. I can't relate in a linear way, and when people expect that from me, I get confused."

Kevin's strange belief about poisoning continued to expand. The Warners received a letter from Kevin's mother, who lived in another city 200 miles away. She had become ill after going out for dinner one night and mentioned that she must have eaten something that made her sick. After reading the letter, Kevin became convinced that his supervisor had tried to poison his mother, too.

* Throughout this text we use fictitious names to protect the identities of the people involved.

When questioned about this new incident, Kevin launched into a long, rambling story. He said that his supervisor was a Vietnam veteran, but he had refused to talk with Kevin about his years in the service. Kevin suspected that this was because the supervisor had been a member of army intelligence. Perhaps he still was a member of some secret organization. Kevin suggested that an agent from this organization had been sent by his supervisor to poison his mother. Kevin thought that he and Joyce were in danger. Kevin also had some concerns about Asians, but he would not specify what these worries were in more detail.

Kevin's bizarre beliefs and his disorganized behavior convinced the psychologist that he needed to be hospitalized. Joyce reluctantly agreed that this was the most appropriate course of action. She had run out of alternatives. Arrangements were made to have Kevin admitted to a private psychiatric facility, where the psychiatrist prescribed a type of antipsychotic medication. Kevin seemed to respond positively to the drug, because he soon stopped talking about plots and poisoning—but he remained withdrawn and uncommunicative. After three weeks of treatment, Kevin's psychiatrist thought that he had improved significantly. Kevin was discharged from the hospital in time for the birth of their baby girl. Unfortunately, when the couple returned to consult with the psychologist, Kevin's adjustment was still a major

concern. He did not talk with Joyce about the poisonings, but she noticed that he remained withdrawn and showed few emotions, even toward the baby.

When the psychologist questioned Kevin in detail, he admitted reluctantly that he still believed that he had been poisoned. Slowly, he revealed more of the plot. Immediately after admission to the hospital, Kevin had decided that his psychiatrist, who happened to be from Korea, could not be trusted. Kevin was sure that he, too, was working for army intelligence or perhaps for a counterintelligence operation. Kevin believed that he was being interrogated by this clever psychiatrist, so he had "played dumb." He did not discuss the suspected poisonings or the secret organization that had planned them. Whenever he could get away with it, Kevin simply pretended to take his medication. He thought that it was either poison or truth serum.

Kevin was admitted to a different psychiatric hospital soon after it became apparent that his paranoid beliefs had expanded. This time, he was given intramuscular injections of antipsychotic medication in order to be sure that the medicine was actually taken. Kevin improved considerably after several weeks in the hospital. He acknowledged that he had experienced paranoid thoughts. Although he still felt suspicious from time to time, wondering whether the plot had actually been real, he recognized that it could not really have happened, and he spent less and less time thinking about it.

JOURNAL

Disorganized Thinking

Who were the first people to notice changes in Kevin's behavior? How did his suspicions about being poisoned influence his social relationships and work performance? What was the core of his delusional belief system? Did he exhibit other symptoms of psychosis beyond this delusional belief?

▶ The response entered here will appear in the performance dashboard and can be viewed by your instructor.

Submit

1.1: Recognizing the Presence of a Disorder

OBJECTIVE: Explain the process of identifying a mental disorder

Some mental disorders are so severe that the people who suffer from them are not aware of the implausibility of their beliefs. Schizophrenia is a form of **psychosis**, a

general term that refers to several types of severe mental disorders in which the person is considered to be out of contact with reality. Kevin exhibited several psychotic symptoms. For example, Kevin's firm belief that he was being poisoned by his supervisor had no basis in reality. Other disorders, however, are more subtle variations on normal experience. We will shortly consider some of the guidelines that are applied in determining abnormality.

1.1.1: Features of Abnormal Behavior

Mental disorders are, typically, defined by a set of characteristic features; one symptom by itself is seldom sufficient to make a diagnosis. A group of symptoms that appear together and are assumed to represent a specific type of disorder is referred to as a **syndrome**. Kevin's unrealistic and paranoid belief that he was being poisoned, his peculiar and occasionally difficult-to-understand patterns of speech, and his oddly unemotional responses are all symptoms of schizophrenia. Each symptom is taken to be a fallible, or imperfect, indicator of the presence of the disorder. The significance of any specific feature depends on

Bipolar Disorder: How Does It Impact a Life?

Most people who meet the criteria for bipolar disorder experience distinct episodes of mood disturbance, some involving mania or hypomania and some involving serious depression. A manic episode is a period of time lasting at least one week in which the person feels abnormally and persistently happy and energetic, with noticeably less need for sleep. At other times, the person may experience prolonged periods of severe depression, lasting weeks or months. Some depressed people experience psychotic symptoms that match their mood, such as the voices that Feliziano heard. Notice the range of different symptoms that are associated with the different phases of his disorder, and consider the impact that these symptoms had on his ability to function, both academically and socially.



JOURNAL

As Wretched as You Can Be

Feliziano provides a compelling description of his subjective experience of depression. What does he think about? How does he feel physically when he is depressed? What other symptoms of depression does he mention? When he experiences auditory hallucinations, what do the voices say to him? How does he feel when he goes through a phase of hypomania?

▶ The response entered here will appear in the performance dashboard and can be viewed by your instructor.

Submit

whether the person also exhibits additional behaviors that are characteristic of a particular disorder.

The duration of a person's symptoms is also important. Mental disorders are defined in terms of *persistent* maladaptive behaviors. Many unusual behaviors and inexplicable experiences are short lived; if we ignore them, they go away. Unfortunately, some forms of problematic behavior are not transient, and they eventually interfere with the person's social and occupational functioning. In Kevin's case, he had become completely preoccupied with his suspicions about poison. Joyce tried for several weeks to ignore certain aspects of Kevin's behavior, especially his delusional beliefs. She didn't want to think about the possibility that his behavior was abnormal and, instead, chose to explain his problems in terms of lack of maturity or lack of motivation. But as the problems accumulated, she finally decided to seek professional help. The magnitude of Kevin's problem was measured, in large part, by its persistence.

Impairment in the ability to perform social and occupational roles is another consideration in identifying the

presence of a mental disorder. Delusional beliefs and disorganized speech typically lead to a profound disruption of relationships with other people. Like Kevin, people who experience these symptoms will obviously find the world to be a strange, puzzling, and perhaps alarming place. And these individuals often elicit the same reactions in other people. Kevin's odd behavior and his inability to concentrate on his work had eventually cost him his job. His problems also had a negative impact on his relationship with his wife and his ability to help care for their daughter.



Andy Warhol was one of the most influential painters of the 20th century. His colleague, Jean-Michel Basquiat, was also an extremely promising artist. His addiction to heroin, which led to a fatal overdose, provides one example of the destructive impact of mental disorders.

1.1.2: Diagnosis and Definitions

Kevin's situation raises several additional questions about abnormal behavior. One of the most difficult issues in the field centers on the processes by which mental disorders are identified. Once Kevin's problems came to the attention of a mental health professional, could he have been tested in some way to confirm the presence or absence of a mental disorder?

Psychologists and other mental health professionals do not at present have laboratory tests that can be used to confirm, definitively, the presence of psychopathology, because the processes that are responsible for mental disorders have not yet been discovered. Unlike specialists in other areas of medicine, where many specific disease mechanisms have been discovered by advances in the biological sciences, psychologists and psychiatrists cannot test for the presence of a viral infection or a brain lesion or a genetic defect to confirm a diagnosis of mental disorder. Clinical psychologists must still depend on their observations of the person's behavior and descriptions of personal experience.

Is it possible to move beyond our current dependence on descriptive definitions of psychopathology? Will we someday have valid tests that can be used to establish independently the presence of a mental disorder? If we do, what form might these tests take? The answers to these questions are being sought in many kinds of research studies that will be discussed.

Before we leave this section, we must also mention some other terms that are commonly used to describe abnormal behavior.

Insanity One term is *insanity*, which years ago referred to mental dysfunction but today is a legal term that refers to judgments about whether a person should be held responsible for criminal behavior if he or she is also mentally disturbed. If Kevin had murdered his psychiatrist, for example, based on the delusional belief that the psychiatrist was trying to harm him, a court of law might consider whether Kevin should be held to be *not guilty by reason of insanity*.

Nervous Breakdown Another old-fashioned term that you may have heard is *nervous breakdown*. If we said that Kevin had "suffered a nervous breakdown," we would be indicating, in very general terms, that he had developed some sort of incapacitating but otherwise unspecified type of mental disorder. This expression does not convey any specific information about the nature of the person's problems.

Crazy Some people might also say that Kevin was acting *crazy*. This is an informal, pejorative term that does not convey specific information and carries with it many unfortunate, unfounded, and negative implications.

Mental health professionals refer to psychopathological conditions as mental disorders or abnormal behaviors. We will define these terms in the discussion that follows.

JOURNAL

Testing

On what basis does a mental health professional decide if a person is suffering from a mental disorder? Is there a laboratory test that can be used to confirm the presence of a disorder, such as schizophrenia?

▶ The response entered here will appear in the performance dashboard and can be viewed by your instructor.

Submit

1.2: Defining Abnormal Behavior

OBJECTIVE: Analyze the evolving definitions of mental health

Why do we consider Kevin's behavior to be abnormal? By what criteria do we decide whether a particular set of behaviors or emotional reactions should be viewed as a mental disorder? These are important questions because they determine, in many ways, how other people will respond to the person, as well as who will be responsible for providing help (if help is required).

Many attempts have been made to define abnormal behavior, but none is entirely satisfactory. No one has been able to provide a consistent definition that easily accounts for all situations in which the concept is invoked (Kinghorn, 2013; Phillips et al., 2012).

Subjective Discomfort One approach to the definition of abnormal behavior places principal emphasis on the individual's experience of personal distress. We might say that abnormal behavior is defined in terms of subjective discomfort that leads the person to seek help from a mental health professional. However, this definition is fraught with problems. Kevin's case illustrates one of the major reasons that this approach does not work. Before his second hospitalization, Kevin was unable or unwilling to appreciate the extent of his problem or the impact his behavior had on other people. A psychologist would say that he did not have *insight* regarding his disorder. The discomfort was primarily experienced by Joyce, and she had attempted for many weeks to deny the nature of the problem. It would be useless to adopt a definition that considered Kevin's behavior to be abnormal only after he had been successfully treated.

Statistical Norms Another approach is to define abnormal behavior in terms of statistical norms—how common or rare the behavior is in the general population. By this definition, people with unusually high levels of anxiety or depression would be considered abnormal because their experience deviates from the expected norm. Kevin's paranoid beliefs would be defined as pathological because they

are idiosyncratic. Mental disorders are, in fact, defined in terms of experiences that most people do not have.

This approach, however, does not specify *how* unusual the behavior must be before it is considered abnormal. Some conditions that are, typically, considered to be forms of psychopathology are extremely rare. For example, dissociative identity disorder, the presence of two or more distinct personality states in the same person coupled with recurrent episodes of amnesia, occurs so infrequently that its prevalence cannot be estimated accurately. In contrast, other mental disorders are much more common. Mood disorders affect one out of every five people at some point during their lives; alcoholism and other substance use disorders affect approximately one out of every six people (Kessler et al., 2005; Moffitt et al., 2010).

Another weakness of the statistical approach is that it does not distinguish between deviations that are harmful and those that are not. Many rare behaviors are not pathological. Some “abnormal” qualities have relatively little impact on a person’s adjustment; for example, being extremely pragmatic or unusually talkative. Other abnormal characteristics, such as exceptional intellectual, artistic, or athletic ability, may actually confer an advantage on the individual. For these reasons, the simple fact that a behavior is statistically rare cannot be used to define psychopathology.

1.2.1: Harmful Dysfunction

One useful approach to the definition of mental disorder has been proposed by Jerome Wakefield of Rutgers University (Wakefield, 2010). According to Wakefield, a condition should be considered a mental disorder if, and only if, it meets two criteria:

1. The condition results from the inability of some internal mechanism (mental or physical) to perform its natural function. In other words, something inside the person is not working properly. Examples of such mechanisms include those that regulate levels of emotion, and those that distinguish between real auditory sensations and ones that are imagined.
2. The condition causes some harm to the person as judged by the standards of the person’s culture. These negative consequences are measured in terms of the person’s own subjective distress or difficulty performing expected social or occupational roles.

A mental disorder, therefore, is defined in terms of **harmful dysfunction**.

Element 1 The definition incorporates one element that is based as much as possible on an objective evaluation of performance. The natural function of cognitive and perceptual processes is to allow the person to perceive the world in ways that are shared with other people and to engage in rational

thought and problem solving. The dysfunctions in mental disorders are assumed to be the product of disruptions of thought, feeling, communication, perception, and motivation.

In Kevin’s case, the most apparent dysfunctions involved failures of mechanisms that are responsible for perception, thinking, and communication. Disruption of these systems was presumably responsible for his delusional beliefs and his disorganized speech. The natural function of cognitive and perceptual processes is to allow the person to perceive the world in ways that are shared with other people and to engage in rational thought and problem solving. The natural function of language abilities is to allow the person to communicate clearly with other people. Therefore, Kevin’s abnormal behavior can be viewed as a pervasive dysfunction cutting across several mental mechanisms.

Element 2 The harmful dysfunction view of mental disorder recognizes that every type of dysfunction does not lead to a disorder. Only dysfunctions that result in significant harm to the person are considered to be disorders. This is the second element of the definition. There are, for example, many types of physical dysfunctions, such as albinism, reversal of heart position, and fused toes, that clearly represent a significant departure from the way that some biological process ordinarily functions. These conditions are not considered to be disorders, however, because they are not necessarily harmful to the person.

Kevin’s dysfunctions were, in fact, harmful to his adjustment. They affected both his family relationships—his marriage to Joyce and his ability to function as a parent—and his performance at work. His social and occupational performances were clearly impaired. There are, of course, other types of harm that are also associated with mental disorders. These include subjective distress, such as high levels of anxiety or depression, as well as more tangible outcomes, such as suicide.

THE DSM-5 The definition of abnormal behavior employed by the official *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, published by the American Psychiatric Association and currently in its fifth edition—*DSM-5* (APA, 2013)—incorporates many of the factors that we have already discussed. The following list summarizes this definition and identifies a number of conditions that are specifically excluded from the *DSM-5* definition of mental disorders (Stein et al., 2010). A mental disorder is:

1. A syndrome (groups of associated features) that is characterized by disturbance of a person’s cognition, emotion regulation, or behavior.
2. The consequences of which are clinically significant distress or disability in social, occupational, or other important activities.

3. The syndrome reflects a dysfunction in the psychological, biological, or developmental processes that are associated with mental functioning.
4. Must not be merely an expectable response to common stressors and losses or a culturally sanctioned response to a particular event (e.g., trance states in religious rituals).
5. That is not primarily a result of social deviance or conflicts with society.

The *DSM-5* definition places primary emphasis on the consequences of certain behavioral syndromes. Accordingly, mental disorders are defined by clusters of persistent, maladaptive behaviors that are associated with personal distress, such as anxiety or depression, or with impairment in social functioning, such as job performance or personal relationships. The official definition, therefore, recognizes the concept of dysfunction, and it spells out ways in which the harmful consequences of the disorder might be identified.

The *DSM-5* definition excludes voluntary behaviors as well as beliefs and actions that are shared by religious, political, or sexual minority groups (e.g., gays and lesbians). In the 1960s, for example, members of the Yippie Party intentionally engaged in disruptive behaviors, such as throwing money off the balcony at a stock exchange. Their purpose was to challenge traditional values. These were, in some ways, maladaptive behaviors that could have resulted in social impairment if those involved had been legally prosecuted. But they were not dysfunctions. They were intentional political gestures. It makes sense to try to distinguish between voluntary behaviors and mental disorders, but the boundaries between these different forms of behavior are difficult to draw. Educated discussions of these issues depend on the consideration of a number of important questions.

In actual practice, abnormal behavior is defined in terms of an official diagnostic system. Mental health, like medicine, is an applied rather than a theoretical field. It draws on knowledge from research in the psychological and biological sciences in an effort to help people whose behavior is disordered. Mental disorders are, in some respects, those problems with which mental health professionals attempt to deal. As their activities and explanatory concepts expand, so does the list of abnormal behaviors. The practical boundaries of abnormal behavior are defined by the list of disorders that are included in the official *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. The *DSM-5*, thus, provides another simplistic, although practical, answer to our question as to why Kevin's behavior would be considered abnormal: He would be considered to be exhibiting abnormal behavior because his experiences fit the description of schizophrenia, which is one of the officially recognized forms of mental disorder.

1.2.2: Mental Health Versus Absence of Disorder

The process of defining abnormal behavior raises interesting questions about the way we think about the quality of our lives when mental disorders are *not* present. What is mental health? Is optimal mental health more than the absence of mental disorder? The answer is clearly yes. If you want to know whether one of your friends is physically fit, you would need to determine more than whether he or she is sick. In the realm of psychological functioning, people who function at the highest levels can be described as *flourishing* (Fredrickson & Losada, 2005; Keyes & Westerhof, 2012). They are people who typically experience many positive emotions, are interested in life, and tend to be calm and peaceful. Flourishing people also hold positive attitudes about themselves and other people. They find meaning and direction in their lives and develop trusting relationships with other people. Complete mental health implies the presence of these adaptive characteristics. Therefore, comprehensive approaches to mental health in the community must be concerned both with efforts to diminish the frequency and impact of mental disorders and with activities designed to promote flourishing.

1.2.3: Culture and Diagnostic Practice

The process by which the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual* is constructed and revised is necessarily influenced by cultural considerations. **Culture** is defined in terms of the values, beliefs, and practices that are shared by a specific community or group of people. These values and beliefs have a profound influence on opinions regarding the difference between normal and abnormal behavior (Bass, Eaton, Abramowitz, & Sartorius, 2012).

The impact of particular behaviors and experiences on a person's adjustment depends on the culture in which the person lives. To use Jerome Wakefield's (1992) terms, "only dysfunctions that are socially disvalued are disorders" (p. 384). Consider, for example, the *DSM-5* concept of female orgasmic disorder, which is defined in terms of the absence of orgasm during occasions of sexual activity if it is accompanied by subjective distress that results from this disturbance. A woman who grew up in a society that discouraged female sexuality might not be distressed or impaired by the absence of orgasmic responses. According to *DSM-5*, she would not be considered to have a sexual problem. Therefore, this definition of abnormal behavior is not culturally universal and might lead us to consider a particular pattern of behavior to be abnormal in one society and not in another.

There have been many instances in which groups representing particular social values have brought pressure to bear on decisions shaping the diagnostic manual. The influence of cultural changes on psychiatric classification is, perhaps, nowhere better illustrated than in the case of

Thinking Critically About *DSM-5*: Revising an Imperfect Manual

The official diagnostic manual for mental disorders is revised by the American Psychiatric Association on a regular basis, about once every 15 to 20 years. You might be surprised that the classification system changes so often, but these updates reflect the evolution of our understanding regarding these complex problems. Even more well-established and widely accepted classification systems change. You may remember when Pluto was removed from the list of planets, or recall that new elements have been added to the Periodic Table as a result of nuclear science. Classification systems change as knowledge expands.

The fifth and latest version, *DSM-5*¹, was published in 2013, an event surrounded by excitement as well as heated controversy. More than a dozen workgroups concerned with specific disorders (e.g., mood disorders, psychotic disorders) were composed of expert researchers and clinicians who had been appointed to represent current knowledge in their respective areas. Each group produced a series of proposals that were subjected to public comments as well as field trials that were intended to generate data regarding the reliability of the new definitions. In the end, some experts considered the final product to be a major step forward while others viewed it as a serious step back (Frances & Widiger, 2012; Kendler, 2013).

Thinking Critically About DSM-5 is designed to help you understand ways in which this diagnostic manual has evolved, criteria that are used to judge its progress, and issues that are most controversial following publication of its latest edition. We don't want you to accept the *DSM-5* definitions simply because they were published on the authority of the American Psychiatric Association. On the other hand, we also don't want you to reject the manual because everything in it isn't perfect. Above all else, remember that *DSM-5* is a handbook, not the Bible (Frances, 2013). There are no absolute truths to be found in the classification of mental disorders.

The debates about *DSM-5* generate considerable emotion from people on both sides, because changes in the manual affect so many people's lives. Crucial economic resources are clearly at stake. Adding a diagnostic category can create or expand a market for specific treatments (e.g., medications to treat a new disorder may reap enormous profits) while also raising challenging issues about whether insurance companies must pay for those treatments, whether schools will be expected to provide special services, and whether the government must pay disability claims. There are also pressures on the other side.

Deleting an existing category, or narrowing the criteria that are used to define it, can create serious hardships for individuals and families who are then unable to find or afford suitable services upon which they depend. Mental health professionals, research scientists, and patient advocacy groups all play a crucial role in these debates.

Everyone agrees that the classification system must evolve, but what principles should guide this process of change? When *DSM-IV* (APA, 1994) was being produced, the process was designed to be conservative. Changes were, presumably, allowed only when there was substantial evidence to support a shift in the diagnostic criteria for a particular disorder. A few years later, when discussions about *DSM-5* began, the process was designed to be more open. Workgroups were encouraged to make changes that would bring the system in line with contemporary thinking, even if hard evidence was not available to indicate that the change was empirically justified. Reasonable arguments can be made for both approaches to the revision process. Ultimately, the value of these changing definitions will be judged by the outcomes. Are the new definitions meaningful? Can they be used to improve people's lives?

In the midst of public debates about the *DSM-5* process, another issue has taken center stage. What group is best positioned to manage this system? The American Psychiatric Association clearly owns *DSM*, having launched the original version in 1952. Given the fact that other mental health professions also play important roles in treating and studying mental disorders, does it make sense for this one organization to be the sole owner and manager of the classification system that governs so many aspects of our lives? Should decisions to change the system be guided, even in part, by the enormous economic benefits that have fallen to one professional organization? Some critics have argued that the classification system for mental disorders should be governed by some type of government organization, such as the National Institutes of Health, rather than a profit-making professional association. This issue will, undoubtedly, be debated and explored in coming years.

¹Previous editions of the manual have been identified using roman numerals; e.g., *DSM-III*, *DSM-IV*. The current edition uses Arabic numerals in the hope that more frequent revisions of the text (e.g., *DSM-5.1* and so on) can be produced easily and labeled clearly, much like updates to computer software packages.

homosexuality. In the first and second editions of the *DSM*, homosexuality was, by definition, a form of mental disorder, in spite of arguments expressed by scientists, who argued that homosexual behavior was not abnormal. Toward the end of the 1960s, as the gay and lesbian rights movement became more forceful and outspoken, its leaders challenged the assumption that homosexuality was pathological. They opposed the inclusion of homosexuality in the official diagnostic manual. After extended and sometimes heated discussions, the board of trustees of the

American Psychiatric Association agreed to remove homosexuality as a form of mental illness. They were impressed by numerous indications, in personal appeals as well as the research literature, that homosexuality, per se, was not invariably associated with impaired functioning. They decided that in order to be considered a form of mental disorder a condition ought to be associated with subjective distress or seriously impaired social or occupational functioning. The stage was set for these events by gradual shifts in society's attitudes toward sexual behavior

(Bullough, 1976; Minton, 2002). As more and more people came to believe that reproduction was not the main purpose of sexual behavior, tolerance for greater variety in human sexuality grew. The revision of the *DSM's* system for describing sexual disorders was, therefore, the product

of several forces, cultural as well as political. These deliberations are a reflection of the practical nature of the manual and of the health-related professions. Value judgments are an inherent part of any attempt to define “disorder” (Sedgwick, 1981).

Critical Thinking Matters: Is Sexual Addiction a Meaningful Concept?

Stories about mental disorders appear frequently in the popular media. One topic that once again attracted a frenzy of media attention in 2010 was a concept that has been called “sexual addiction.”

Tiger Woods, the top-ranked golfer in the world and wealthiest professional athlete in history, confessed to having a series of illicit sexual affairs and announced that he would take an indefinite break from the professional tour.

At the time, Woods was married to former Swedish model Elin Nordegren, who had given birth to their second child earlier that same year. More than a dozen women came forward to claim publicly that they had sexual relationships with Woods, and several large companies soon cancelled lucrative endorsement deals that paid him millions of dollars to endorse their products. Newspapers, magazines, and television programs sought interviews with professional psychologists who offered their opinions regarding Woods's behavior. Why would this fabulously successful, universally admired, iconic figure risk his marriage, family, and career for a seemingly endless series of casual sexual relationships?

Many experts responded by invoking the concept of mental disorder—specifically, sexual addiction (some called it “sexual compulsion,” and one called it the “Clinton syndrome” in reference to similar problems that had been discussed in the midst of President Clinton's sex scandal in 1998). The symptoms of this disorder presumably include low self-esteem, insecurity, need for reassurance, and sensation seeking, to name only a few. One expert claimed that 20 percent of highly successful men suffer from sexual addiction.

Most of the stories failed to mention that sexual addiction does not appear as an officially recognized mental disorder in *DSM-5*. That, by itself, is not an insurmountable problem. Disorders have come and gone over the years, and it's possible that this one—or some version of it—might eventually turn out to be useful. In fact, the work group that revised the list of sexual disorders for *DSM-5* did consider but ultimately rejected adding a

new category called “hypersexual disorder” (Campbell & Stein, 2016). We shouldn't ignore a new concept simply because it hasn't become part of the official classification system (or accept one on faith, simply because it has). The most important thing is that we *think critically* about the issues that are raised by invoking a concept like sexual addiction.

At the broadest possible level, we must ask ourselves, “What is a mental disorder?” Is there another explanation for such thoughtless and damaging behavior? Tiger Woods received several weeks of treatment for sexual addiction at a residential mental health facility. Has that treatment been shown to be effective for this kind of behavioral problem? Is it necessary? Does the diagnosis simply provide him with a convenient excuse that might encourage the public to forgive his immoral behavior?

Another important question is whether sexual addiction is more useful than other, similar, concepts (Moser, 2011). For example, narcissistic personality disorder includes many of the same features (such as lack of empathy, feelings of entitlement, and a history of exploiting others). What evidence supports the value of one concept over another? In posing such questions, we are not arguing for or against a decision to include sexual addiction or hypersexual disorder as a type of mental disorder. Rather, we are encouraging you to think critically.

Students who ask these kinds of questions are engaged in a process in which judgments and decisions are based on a careful analysis of the best available evidence. In order to consider these issues, you need to put aside your own subjective feelings and impressions, such as whether you find a particular kind of behavior disgusting, confusing, or frightening. It may also be necessary to disregard opinions expressed by authorities whom you respect (politicians, journalists, and talk-show hosts). Be skeptical. Ask questions. Consider the evidence from different points of view, and remember that some kinds of evidence are better than others.

JOURNAL

Addicted to Sex

The popular media frequently publish stories about famous people who are presumably addicted to sex. Think of a recent story of this type that you have read, and ask yourself whether it might be just as reasonable to explain the person's behavior in terms of narcissistic personality disorder. In other words, is this a person who feels entitled to special privileges, lacks empathy with others, and has a history of exploiting and manipulating others? What does the concept of addiction (or hypersexual disorder) add to this conversation?

► The response entered here will appear in the performance dashboard and can be viewed by your instructor.

Submit

Many people think about culture primarily in terms of exotic patterns of behavior in distant lands. The decision regarding homosexuality reminds us that the values of our own culture play an intimate role in our definition of abnormal behavior. These issues also highlight the importance of cultural change. Culture is a dynamic process; it changes continuously as a result of the actions of individuals. To the extent that our definition of abnormal behavior is determined by cultural values and beliefs, we should expect that it will continue to evolve over time.